

1 **Furan and 5-hydroxymethylfurfural removal from high- and low-moisture foods**

2 Monica Anese^{1*}, Francesca Bot¹, Michele Suman²

3 ¹*Dipartimento di Scienze degli Alimenti, University of Udine, Via Sondrio 2/A, 33100 Udine, Italy*

4 ²*Barilla SpA – Food Science & Research Labs, Via Mantova 166, Parma, Italy*

5 *Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 0432 558153; fax: +39 0432 558130

6 *E-mail address:* monica.anese@uniud.it (M. Anese).

7

8

9

10

11

12 **ABSTRACT**

13 The possibility to remove furan and 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) from meat sauce and biscuits
14 by means of the application of vacuum treatments was studied. These foods were chosen because
15 differing in moisture and fat contents. Three different pressure levels (i.e. 4, 12 and 19 kPa) were
16 applied for increasing lengths of time. Results showed that the vacuum treatments were ineffective
17 in removing HMF from both food types, as well as furan from the biscuits, unless this food was
18 preliminary hydrated at high water activity values. On the contrary, the vacuum treatments allowed
19 furan to be removed from the high moisture food. In particular, 67% furan removal from the meat
20 sauce was achieved by applying 12 kPa for 10 min. Sensory analysis results showed that meat sauce
21 subjected to such a treatment presented the same odor intensity of the untreated sample. The results
22 clearly showed that the post-process vacuum treatment could represent a reliable strategy to
23 mitigate the furan levels in high moisture foods.

24

25 *Keywords:* Furan, 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural, Meat sauce, Biscuits, Sensory properties, Vacuum

26

27 *Highlights*

28 Vacuum treatments removed furan, but not HMF, from high moisture, low fat food, i.e. meat sauce

29 Short time vacuum treatments did not affect the meat sauce sensory properties

30 Furan and HMF could be removed from low moisture food by vacuum treatment

31

32

33 1. Introduction

34 Furan and 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) are heterocyclic compounds that are formed in a variety
35 of heat-treated commercial foods (Maga, 1979; Morales, 2009; EFSA, 2011) where they can
36 significantly contribute to the sensory properties. In particular, HMF can be formed as intermediate
37 in the Maillard reaction, which occurs when reducing sugars are heated in the presence of amino
38 acids or proteins, or by thermal dehydration of a sugar under acid conditions (Mauron, 1981; Kroh,
39 1994). Even more pathways of furan formation in model systems and foods have been elucidated,
40 involving carbohydrates, amino acids, carbohydrate-amino acid mixtures, vitamins, polyunsaturated
41 fatty acids and carotenoids as precursors (Becalski & Seaman, 2005; Crews & Castle, 2007; Fan,
42 Huang, & Sokorai, 2008; Limacher, Kerler, Conde-Petit, & Blank, 2007; Limacher, Kerler,
43 Davidek, Schmalzried, & Blank, 2008, Owczarek-Fendor et al., 2012; Perez-Locas & Yaylayan,
44 2004; Senyuva & Gokmen, 2007).

45 Although furan has been classified as “possibly carcinogenic to humans” (IARC, 1995) and HMF
46 was supposed to induce genotoxic and mutagenic effect in bacterial and human cells and promote
47 colon cancer in rats (Monien, Engst, Barknowitz, Seidel, & Glatt, 2012), the risk associated with the
48 furan and HMF exposure has not been elucidated yet with certainty (EFSA, 2011). Nevertheless,
49 due to their widespread presence in foods, furan and HMF have generated great concern, and a
50 number of strategies are reported in the literature to keep their levels as low as reasonably
51 achievable (Crews & Castle, 2007). However, only a limited number of them finds practical
52 application at the industrial level. A limiting factor to their exploitation is that the formation of
53 these heat-induced toxicants is concomitant with the development of color, flavor and texture.
54 Therefore it is difficult to minimize their generation without compromising the sensory
55 acceptability of the food. Mitigation of furan and HMF levels in food can be achieved by means of
56 preventive or removal interventions (Anese & Suman, 2013). The former are aimed to minimize
57 furan and/or HMF formation during the heating process, by means of the decrease of precursor
58 concentration or formation rate; the removal interventions are aimed to move away or decompose

the already formed molecules in the finished product. Among the removal strategies, the vacuum technology has been already studied as a tool to remove furfural, HMF and acrylamide from different foods (Anese, Suman, & Nicoli, 2010; Quarta & Anese, 2012; Zhaoyang, 2008). According to the results of these studies the efficacy of the vacuum treatment greatly depends on the molecule nature as well as on the food composition and physical state. By applying a same combination of temperature, pressure and time conditions, higher levels of furfural were removed from coffee as compared to HMF, due to differences in the chemical and physical properties of the two molecules. Moreover, acrylamide removal by vacuum treatments was not possible from dry foods, such as coffee and biscuits, due to viscosity constraints that limit molecule diffusion through the matrix. On the contrary, food hydration before the vacuum process allowed the molecule to be effectively removed.

The aim of the present study was to investigate the possibility to physically remove furan and HMF from foods differing in their chemical composition. To this purpose meat sauce and biscuits with different water and fat contents were chosen. Although the highest furan and HMF concentrations were found in coffee products, jarred foods and cereal products may also contribute to the furan and HMF contents of the diet (EFSA, 2011). Samples were subjected to vacuum treatments consisting in the application of different pressures for increasing lengths of time and subsequently analyzed for their furan and HMF concentrations. As the vacuum treatment may cause loss of volatile compounds, the effect of this technology on meat sauce and biscuits sensory properties was also evaluated.

79

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample preparation

Commercial short dough biscuits and meat sauce were chosen for experiments on furan and HMF removal, by virtue of the differences in their chemical composition, i.e. water and lipid content. Their average compositions reported in the labels are shown in Table 1.

85 Previously hydrated biscuits were also considered. To this purpose, weighed Petri dishes containing
86 the whole biscuits (approximately 10 g) were introduced in vacuum desiccators saturated with water
87 vapors. Samples were left in the desiccators for the time (about 48 h) necessary to reach the desired
88 water activity. After hydration, samples were immediately subjected to the experiments at low
89 pressure.

90

91 2.2. *Vacuum treatments*

92 Experiments were carried out by using an apparatus consisting of an oven (5Pascal, VS-25 SC,
93 Trezzano S/N, Milano, Italy), connected to a vacuum pump (BOC Edwards, E2M40, Crawley, West
94 Sussex, UK). The samples, previously weighed (approximately 10 g) in aluminum dishes, were
95 introduced in the oven once the desired temperature was reached. Afterwards, the vacuum pump
96 was immediately switched on. The time needed to achieve the desired vacuum ranged from 20 to 40
97 s depending on the set pressure value and the water content of the samples. In all cases,
98 computation of treatment duration started once the set pressure value was achieved. Treatments
99 were carried out at pressures of 4, 12 and 19 kPa at 30 °C or 60 °C for 10, 30 and 60 min. After the
100 treatments, the samples were immediately removed from the oven, and stored at -18 °C until the
101 analyses were performed.

102

103 2.3. *Analytical procedures*

104 2.3.1. *Furan concentration*

105 Furan determination was carried out by combining SPME and GC-MS analysis according to slight
106 modifications executed on the method of Bianchi, Careri, Mangia, and Musci (2006). SPME
107 experiments were performed with a 85 µm carboxen-polydimethylsiloxane (CAR-PDMS) fiber
108 (Supelco, Bellfonte, PA, USA). Aliquots of 2 g of samples were added with 2 mL NaCl 20% (w/w)
109 water solution of d₄-furan (internal standard with a concentration equal to 30 µg/kg) and were
110 placed in 20 mL sealed vials. Incubation time and temperature of the fiber were 5 min and 40 °C,

111 respectively. The fiber was then exposed to the headspace of the vial operating under the optimized
112 extraction conditions, i.e. extraction temperature equal to 40 °C and extraction time equal to 20 min.
113 A constant magnetic stirring was always applied. Desorption was carried out at 270 °C for 2 min.
114 Two fiber blanks were run between each sample to avoid potential “memory effects”. An ultra
115 Thermo TRACE GC (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) equipped with a DSQ II detector
116 (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was used for GC-MS analysis. Helium was used as the
117 carrier gas at a flow rate of 1 mL/min; the gas chromatograph was operated in splitless mode with
118 the PTV injector maintained at 270 °C and equipped with a PTV multi-baffled liner (i.d. 1.5 mm,
119 Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). A Rxi-5ms (5% diphenyl 95% dimethylpolysiloxane) (30
120 m x 0.25 µm, 0.5 µm) capillary column (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was used. The
121 following GC oven temperature program was applied: 40 °C for 5 min, 15 °C/min to 300 °C.
122 Transfer line and source were maintained at 270 °C and 200 °C, respectively. The mass
123 spectrometer was operated in selected-ion monitoring mode (SIM) by recording the current of the
124 following ions: m/z 68 and 39 for furan and m/z 72 and 42 for d₄-furan. The corresponding ion
125 ratios were used to confirm the identification of the analyte. A dwell time of 50 ms was used for all
126 the ions. Preliminarily, full scan EI data were acquired to determine appropriate masses for SIM
127 under the following conditions: ionization energy: 70 eV, mass range: 35-150 amu, scan time: 3
128 scan/s. All the analyses were performed setting the electron multiplier voltage at 1500 V. Signal
129 acquisition and elaboration were performed using the software Xcalibur (Thermo Scientific,
130 Waltham, MA, USA).

131 2.3.2. *HMF concentration*

132 HMF was determined by HPLC according to the slightly modified method of García-Villanova,
133 Guerra-Hernández, Martínez-Gómez, and Montilla (1993). Briefly, 1 or 5 mL of water Milli Q
134 (Millipore, Italy) respectively were added to 1 g of meat sauce or ground biscuit into a 100 mL
135 centrifuge tube. The sample was mixed with Polytron (Polytron PT-MR 3000, Kinematica AG,
136 Littau, Switzerland) at 3200 x g for 2 min and clarified with 0.5 mL each of Carrez I and Carrez II

137 solutions. The resulting mixture was centrifuged at 9500 x g for 15 min at 4 °C (Beckman, Avanti
138 Centrifuge J-25, Palo Alto, CA, USA) and subsequently filtered through a 0.45 µm membrane filter
139 before the HPLC analysis.

140 A HPLC system Varian Pro Star (model 230, Varian Associates Ltd., Walnut Creek, CA, USA)
141 equipped with a Varian Pro Star photodiode array detector (model 330, Varian Associates Ltd.,
142 Walnut Creek, CA, USA) was used. A Econosil C18 column (Alltech, Deerfield, IL, USA), 250
143 mm length, 4.6 mm internal diameter, 10 µm granulometry was used. Injection volume was 20 µL
144 and the mobile phase, delivered at a flow rate of 1 mL/min, consisted of 90% water and 10%
145 methanol (Carlo Erba, Milano, Italy) in isocratic conditions. The detection wavelength was 280 nm.
146 The external method was used for the determination of HMF content. The linearity of the HPLC
147 method used was tested in the concentration range of 1-150 mg/kg by means of HMF (Sigma-
148 Aldrich, Milano, Italy) standard diluted with distilled water. Peak integration was performed by the
149 Software Chromatography Star IC (5.3 version).

150 2.3.3. *Total solid content*

151 Total solid content was determined by gravimetric method by drying the samples under vacuum
152 (1.3 kPa) to constant weight (AOAC, 1995). As respect to the official method, drying was carried
153 out at 75 °C instead of 100°C, to avoid losses due to non-enzymatic browning and pyrolysis
154 reactions.

155 2.3.4. *Water activity*

156 Water activity (a_w) was determined by means of a dew-point measuring instrument (AQUA LAB,
157 Decagon, Pullman, WA, USA) at 25 °C.

158 2.3.5. *Sensory analysis*

159 The procedure described by Manzocco and Lagazio (2009) was followed. A panel of ten Italian
160 assessors was selected. Judges were aged between 18 and 60 years and approximately balanced
161 between males and females. They all had a minimum of 2 years of experience in discrimination and
162 descriptive sensory methods. For sensory testing, 5 g of sample were served in 50 mL capacity

163 odorless plastic cups at ambient temperature. Samples were indicated by a three-digit code and
164 submitted to the panel paired with a reference (untreated) sample. Assessors were asked to sniff the
165 samples after the reference one and evaluate the intensity of odor, differentiating the treated sample
166 from the reference one on a 9-cm unstructured scale anchored with “high”. Due to meat sauce and
167 biscuit persistent flavor only two samples were evaluated each session and assessors evaluated
168 samples twice on different sessions.

169

170 *2.4. Statistical analysis*

171 Analyses were carried out at least twice on two replicated experiments. Results are presented as
172 mean value \pm SD. Coefficients of variation, expressed as the percentage ratio between the standard
173 deviations and the mean values, were lower than 18 for furan, 15 for HMF, and 1 for total solid
174 content and a_w .

175 Analysis of variance was carried out with significance level set to $P < 0.05$ (STATISTICA for
176 Windows, 5.1, Statsoft Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The Tukey procedure was used to test for differences
177 between means.

178

179 **3. Results and discussion**

180 Fig. 1 shows furan concentrations of meat sauce samples subjected to treatments at 4, 12 or 19 kPa
181 and 30 °C for increasing lengths of time. The vacuum treatment caused a significant decrease in
182 furan concentration. In particular, after 10 min the removal varied from 54% to 67% depending on
183 the pressure applied. As expected, the lowest removal was achieved by carrying out the vacuum
184 treatment at the highest pressure (19 kPa). By prolonging the time, no significant or slight further
185 removal was observed. Similar results were obtained by carrying out the vacuum treatments at 60
186 °C instead of 30 °C (data not shown). By contrast, no changes of HMF concentration were observed
187 in the meat sauce samples subjected to the vacuum treatments. In fact, the HMF concentrations of
188 the vacuum treated meat sauce samples, ranging from 77 ± 9 mg/kg_{dm} to 104 ± 16 mg/kg_{dm}, were

189 not significantly different from that of the control sample (66 ± 11 mg/kg_{dm}). The diffusion rates of
190 furan and HMF through the food matrix are supposed to be different due to their different molecular
191 weight (Goubet, Le Quere, & Voilley, 1998). By virtue of its lower molecular weight, furan would
192 diffuse through the matrix and reach the meat sauce surface faster than HMF. As a result, in our
193 experimental conditions, only furan was removed from the meat sauce, while HMF was mostly
194 retained.

195 Table 2 shows the moisture and a_w values of the meat sauce samples subjected to treatments at 4, 12
196 or 19 kPa and 30 °C for increasing lengths of time. It can be observed that the lower the pressure
197 and the longer the time, the greater the moisture and a_w decrease. As expected the minimum
198 moisture and a_w values (i.e. 70.8% and 0.969) were obtained by applying 4 kPa for 60 min. It is
199 noteworthy that the 10 min treatments, which allowed a great furan loss to be achieved, did not
200 cause significant moisture and a_w changes as compared with the control sample.

201 The effect of the vacuum treatments on furan and HMF levels in biscuits was also investigated. No
202 significant changes in furan and HMF concentrations were found in biscuits subjected to the
203 vacuum treatments at 4, 12 or 19 kPa and 30 °C for 10 min (Fig. 2). These results are in agreement
204 with previous findings showing that these molecules cannot be removed from dry matrices, due to
205 the viscosity constrain which limits the molecules diffusion through the matrix (Roos & Karel,
206 1991). Moreover, the high lipid content of the biscuits would contribute to hurdle the molecules
207 diffusion (Van Lancker, Adams, Owczarek, De Meulenaer, & De Kimpe, 2009).

208 Additional trials were carried out on biscuits hydrated to water content and activity respectively of
209 $16.9\% \pm 0.7$ and 0.819 ± 0.002 prior the vacuum treatment. The hydration step caused a 94%
210 decrease in furan concentration, while no differences in HMF levels were found between the
211 hydrated and non-hydrated biscuits. The subsequent vacuum treatment at 4 kPa and 30°C for 10
212 min did not caused any appreciable further furan loss, while it allowed 50% HMF reduction to be
213 achieved (data not shown). Following this treatment biscuits with moisture and a_w values of $12.7 \pm$
214 0.1 and 0.721 ± 0.003 were obtained. Such values are far away from the desired initial ones

(moisture, $3.0\% \pm 0.1$; a_w , 0.196 ± 0.003), which can be achieved only by prolonging the vacuum treatment, to the detriment of the food sensory properties.

In order to study the effects of the vacuum treatments on meat sauce and biscuit quality, sensory analysis by sniffing of the treated samples compared with the control ones was performed. Fig. 3 shows the odor intensities of meat sauce and biscuits samples undergone the vacuum treatments at 4, 12 or 19 kPa and 30°C for 10 min. It can be observed that the meat sauce subjected to 4 kPa was perceived with lower odor intensity than both the reference (untreated) sample and those treated at higher pressures. Moreover, meat sauce samples undergone the treatments at 12 or 19 kPa were not judged different from the control one. No significant differences in odor perception among the biscuits were found. It can be inferred that the glassy state as well as the high lipid content of this food product contributed to hurdle molecule mobility; therefore not only furan and HMF removal but also flavor release were negligible. By increasing the length of the vacuum treatment at 12 kPa, meat sauce samples were perceived progressively with lower intensity than the reference one, especially after 60 min of treatment (Fig. 4). In the case of biscuits, a significant decrease in odor perception was found only in the 60 min treated sample.

4. Conclusions

The results of this study confirmed previous findings in that furan and HMF removal cannot take place in dry foods such as biscuits, due to viscosity constraints. Therefore, a hydration step of the dry food to high a_w prior the vacuum treatment is necessary to allow the molecules to be removed. It is noteworthy that the vacuum treatment of hydrated foods favors not only toxicants escape but also the release of flavor compounds. By contrast, the application of the vacuum treatment effectively removed furan from the meat sauce having a high moisture content. In fact, under the process conditions adopted in the present work (i.e. 12 kPa for 10 min), this technology led to an efficient reduction of the undesired molecule without affecting the food sensory properties and its overall quality. In the light of these results, the application of vacuum treatments for furan

241 mitigation in high-moisture, low fat food formulations could be a reliable strategy for the industrial
242 exploitation.

243

244 **References**

245 Anese, M., Suman, M., Nicoli, M.C. (2010). Acrylamide removal from heated foods. *Food*
246 *Chemistry*, 119, 791-794.

247 Anese, M., & Suman, M. (2013). Mitigation strategies of furan and 5-hydroxymethylfurfural in
248 food. *Food Research International*, 51, 257-264.

249 AOAC Official Method 925.09 (1995). In P. Cunniff (Ed.) *Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC*
250 *International* (Vol. II, p. 32-1). Arlington, WV: AOAC International.

251 Becalski, A., & Seaman, S. (2005). Furan precursors in food: a model study and development of a
252 simple headspace method for determination of furan. *Journal of AOAC International*, 88, 102-
253 106.

254 Bianchi, F., Careri, M., Mangia, A., & Musci, M. (2006). Development and validation of a solid
255 phase micro-extraction-gas chromatography-mass spectrometry method for the determination of
256 furan in baby-food. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1102, 268-272.

257 Crews, C., & Castle, L. (2007). A review of the occurrence, formation and analysis of furan in heat-
258 processed foods. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 18, 365-372.

259 EFSA, European Food Safety Authority (2011). Update on furan levels in food from monitoring
260 years 2004-2010 and exposure assessment. *EFSA Journal*, 9(9), 2347-2380.

261 Fan, X., Huang, L., & Sokorai, K.J.B. (2008). Factors affecting thermally induced furan formation.
262 *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 56, 9490-9494.

263 García-Villanova, B., Guerra-Hernández, E., Martínez-Gómez, E., & Montilla, J. (1993). Liquid
264 chromatography for the determination of 5-(hydroxymethyl)-2-furaldehyde in breakfast cereals.
265 *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 41, 1254-1255.

266 Goubet, I., Le Quere J-L., & Voilley, A.J. (1998). Retention of aroma compounds by carbohydrates:
 267 influence of their physicochemical characteristics and their physical state. A review. *Journal of*
 268 *Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 46, 1981-1990.

269 IARC (1995). Dry cleaning, some chlorinated solvents and other industrial chemicals. In
 270 *Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans* (vol. 63, pp. 394-407). Lyon:
 271 International Agency for Research on Cancer.

272 Kroh, L.W. (1994). Caramelization in food and beverages. *Food Chemistry*, 51, 373-379.

273 Limacher, A., Kerler, J., Conde-Petit, B., & Blank, I. (2007). Formation of furan and methylfuran
 274 from ascorbic acid in model systems and food. *Food Additives and Contaminants*, 24, S1, 122-
 275 135.

276 Limacher, A., Kerler, J., Davidek, T., Schmalzried, F., & Blank, I. (2008). Formation of furan and
 277 methylfuran by Maillard-type reactions in model systems and food. *Journal of Agricultural and*
 278 *Food Chemistry*, 56, 3639-3647.

279 Maga, J.A. (1979). Furans in food. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 11, 355-400.

280 Manzocco, L., & Lagazio, C. (2009). Coffee brew shelf life modeling by integration of acceptability
 281 and quality data. *Food Quality and Preference*, 20, 24-29.

282 Mauron, J. (1981). The Maillard reaction in food; a critical review from the nutritional standpoint.
 283 *Progress in Food and Nutrition Science*, 5, 5-35.

284 Monien, B.H., Engst, W., Barknowitz, G., Seidel, A., & Glatt, H. (2012). Mutagenicity of 5-
 285 hydroxymethylfurfural in V79 cells expressing human SULT1A1: identification and mass
 286 spectrometric quantification of DNA adducts formed. *Chemical Research in Toxicology*, 25,
 287 1484-1492.

288 Morales, F.J. (2009). Hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) and related compounds. In R.H. Stadler, &
 289 D.R. Lineback (Eds.), *Process-induced Food Toxicants* (pp. 135-174). New York: John Wiley &
 290 Sons, Inc.

291 Owczarek-Fendor, A., De Meuleaner, B., Scholl, G., Adams, A., van Lancker, F., Eppe, G., De
 292 Pauw, E., Scippo M-L., & De Kimpe, N. (2012). Furan formation in starch-based model systems
 293 containing carbohydrates in combination with proteins, ascorbic acid and lipids. *Food*
 294 *Chemistry*, 133, 816-821.

295 Perez Locas, C., & Yaylayan, V.A. (2004). Origin and mechanistic pathways of formation of the
 296 parent furan – a food toxicant. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 52, 6830-6836.

297 Quarta, B., & Anese, M. (2012). Furfurals removal from roasted coffee powder by vacuum
 298 treatment. *Food Chemistry*, 130, 610-614.

299 Roos, Y., & Karel, M. (1991). Applying state diagrams to food processing and development. *Food*
 300 *Technology*, 45, 66-71.

301 Senyuva, H.Z., & Gökmen, V. (2007). Potential of furan formation in hazelnuts during heat
 302 treatment. *Food Additives and Contaminants*, 24, 136-142.

303 van Lancker, F., Adams, A., Owczarek, A., De Meulenaer, B., & De Kimpe, N. (2009). Impact of
 304 various food ingredients on the retention of furan in foods. *Molecular Nutrition and Food*
 305 *Research*, 53, 1505-1511.

306 Zhaoyang, L. Process and apparatus for reducing residual level of acrylamide in heat processed
 307 food. 2003; Patent No US2003/0219518 A1.

308
 309

310 **Figure Captions**

311

312 **Fig. 1.** Furan concentration of meat sauce samples subjected to vacuum treatment at 4, 12 or 19 kPa
313 and 30 °C for increasing lengths of time.

314

315 **Fig. 2.** Furan and HMF concentrations of biscuits subjected to vacuum treatment at 4, 12 or 19 kPa
316 and 30 °C for 10 min. Different letters indicate significant difference ($P<0.05$).

317

318 **Fig. 3.** Odor intensity of meat sauce and biscuit samples subjected to vacuum treatment at 4, 12 or
319 19 kPa and 30 °C for 10 min. Different letters indicate significant difference ($P<0.05$).

320

321 **Fig. 4.** Odor intensity of meat sauce and biscuit samples subjected to vacuum treatment at 12 kPa
322 and 30 °C for increasing lengths of time. Different letters indicate significant difference ($P<0.05$).

Table 1

Average composition of commercial biscuits and meat sauce, as reported in the respective labels.

Food component	Meat sauce	Biscuits
	(g/100 g)	(g/100 g)
Protein	5.0	8.0
Carbohydrate	6.6	56.6
Fat	5.0	19.9
Water	80.7	3.0
Fiber	0.0	11.0
Other minor ingredients	2.7	1.5

Table 2

Moisture and a_w values of meat sauce samples subjected to vacuum treatments at 4, 12 or 19 kPa and 30 °C for increasing lengths of time.

Vacuum treatment		Moisture (%)	a_w
Pressure (kPa)	Time (min)		
Control		80.9±1.9 ^a	0.988±0.002 ^a
4	10	80.5±0.2 ^a	0.986±0.001 ^a
	30	76.9±3.5 ^b	0.981±0.001 ^b
	60	70.8±1.6 ^c	0.969±0.003 ^c
12	10	82.3±0.2 ^a	0.991±0.002 ^a
	30	80.4±0.2 ^a	0.987±0.002 ^a
	60	76.2±2.7 ^b	0.979±0.002 ^b
19	10	81.7±0.4 ^a	0.990±0.000 ^a
	30	80.5±0.7 ^a	0.987±0.001 ^a
	60	77.7±2.6 ^b	0.982±0.001 ^b

Data are the mean of two repetitions on two replicated samples ± sd

^{a,b,c} Means with the different letter in the same column are significantly different ($P<0.05$) by Tukey test.




Fig. 1.




Fig. 2.




Fig. 3.




Fig. 4.